

# **2015**

# **Asset Forfeiture Report**

**(Covers 2014)**



**Grants and Community Services Division,  
Byrne JAG Unit**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD .....	1
SCOPE OF THE REPORT .....	2
ASSET FORFEITURE RECEIPTS .....	3
ASSET FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS.....	3
ASSET FORFEITURE BY PROPERTY CATEGORY .....	4
EXPENDITURES OF ASSET FORFEITURE FUNDS .....	4
DISTRIBUTION TO SCHOOLS .....	6
2011-2014 TOTAL NET ASSET PROCEEDS COMPARISON.....	7
2013-2014 TOTAL NET ASSET PROCEEDS COMPARISON.....	7



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE  
LANSING

RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

COL. KRISTE KIBBEY ETUE  
DIRECTOR

September 9, 2015

Mr. Jeff Cobb  
Secretary of the Senate  
Michigan Senate  
P.O. Box 30036  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Mr. Gary Randall  
Clerk of the House  
Michigan House of Representatives  
P.O. Box 30014  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Dear Mr. Cobb and Mr. Randall:

In accordance with MCL 333.7524a, I am pleased to present to the Michigan Legislature the 23rd annual Asset Forfeiture Report. Michigan's drug asset forfeiture program focuses on the seizure of cash and property assets of drug traffickers, obtained through illegal activity. Through this program, Michigan's law enforcement community maintains its ability to systematically dismantle criminal enterprise and protect our citizens by interrupting the dangerous cycle of profiteering which results from the trafficking of illegal drugs in our communities.

During 2014, over \$20.4 million in cash and assets amassed by drug traffickers was forfeited. Extensive multi-agency coordination is evident in this report and, as a result, considerable assets were obtained through the joint enforcement efforts of agencies at the federal, state, and local levels.

Asset forfeiture funds were used to enhance law enforcement by providing resources for personnel, equipment, canine expenses, prevention programs, and matching funds to obtain federal grants. Assets seized pursuant to this program also allowed some agencies to contribute monies to non-profit organizations that assist in obtaining information from citizens for solving crimes.

I commend our law enforcement community for the tremendous job they have done and submit this report for your information and review.

Sincerely,

DIRECTOR

## FOREWORD

This is the 23rd annual Asset Forfeiture Report pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws 333.7524a. This report is a compilation of Local Unit of Governmental Asset Forfeiture Report forms and additional data submitted by Michigan law enforcement agencies and prosecutors to the Michigan State Police (MSP), Grants and Community Services Division, Byrne Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne JAG) Unit. Of the 629 Local Unit of Governmental Asset Forfeiture Report forms submitted to the MSP, 332 law enforcement agencies reported receiving funds from asset forfeiture during 2014. More than \$20.4 million in cash and property was seized pursuant to MCL 333.7524 or federal law and put to use by Michigan law enforcement agencies and prosecuting attorneys.

Public Act 161 of 2011 amended MCL 333.7524 to allow law enforcement agencies to use asset forfeiture funds to enhance all law enforcement activities. Prior to being amended, MCL 333.7524 only permitted asset forfeiture funds to be used for law enforcement efforts pertaining to controlled substance violations of the Public Health Code. As a result of the amendment, it is now permissible for asset forfeiture funds to be provided to nonprofit organizations whose primary activity is to assist law enforcement agencies with drug-related criminal investigations and obtaining information for solving crimes.

Collaboration and coordination are hallmarks of Michigan's effort to overcome drug trafficking in our communities. A significant portion of the assets seized from drug traffickers was obtained as a result of local, state, and federal agencies working together. Michigan's multijurisdictional task forces are a good example of coordinated regional law enforcement aimed at dangerous drug traffickers.



## SCOPE OF THE REPORT

This year, the MSP sent Local Unit of Governmental Asset Forfeiture Report forms to 686 criminal justice agencies statewide. Ninety-two percent (629) of these agencies completed and returned the form to the MSP.

The following table provides information regarding the types of criminal justice agencies that were sent a Local Unit of Governmental Asset Forfeiture Report form and information regarding response to the form:

Agencies	Agencies Submitting a Report Including Asset Forfeitures	Agencies Submitting a Report with NO Asset Forfeitures	Agencies That <u>DID NOT</u> Submit a Report
Local Police Agencies (491)	251	200	40
Multijurisdictional Task Forces (28)	28	0	0
MSP (1)	1	0	0
Sheriff's Departments (83)	52	29	2
Prosecuting Attorneys (83)	0	69	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>56</b>

## ASSET FORFEITURE RECEIPTS

Proceeds available to criminal justice agencies through asset forfeitures in 2014 totaled \$20,457,538, after costs were subtracted and federal sharing percentages were added. All costs incurred in filing asset forfeiture claims may be deducted from the total gross forfeiture proceeds. MCL 333.7524 allows for sharing between agencies when more than one law enforcement agency is involved in the investigation. Through the United States Attorney's Offices in Michigan's eastern and western districts, federal criminal justice agencies shared asset forfeitures with state and local agencies. Michigan statutes do not require the disclosure of federal sharing amounts; therefore, some agencies may choose not to disclose shared federal amounts in their Local Unit of Governmental Asset Forfeiture Report submitted to the MSP.

The following tables provide information regarding each reporting agency's source of gross proceeds and net proceeds after administrative costs:

Agencies	Gross Asset Forfeiture by Michigan Statute	Federally Shared Asset Forfeitures	State and Local Shared Asset Forfeitures Received	Administrative Costs and Shared Asset Forfeitures Paid Out	Total Net Proceeds
Local Police Agencies	\$7,598,411	\$4,743,210	\$1,120,164	(\$1,405,357)	\$12,056,428
Multijurisdictional Task Forces	\$4,118,006	\$385,635	\$293,629	(\$1,149,355)	\$3,647,915
MSP	\$1,113,909	\$814,085	\$31,303	(\$37,841)	\$1,921,456
Sheriff's Departments	\$1,226,636	\$2,032,460	\$455,526	(\$882,883)	\$2,831,739
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$14,056,962</b>	<b>\$7,975,390</b>	<b>\$1,900,622</b>	<b>(\$3,475,436)</b>	<b>\$20,457,538</b>

Due to rounding, figures are not exact.

## ASSET FORFEITURE PROCEEDINGS

Agencies	Instituted in Circuit Court	Concluded in Circuit Court	Pending in Circuit Court	Administrative Forfeiture
Local Police Agencies	734	505	186	6,151
Multijurisdictional Task Forces	197	147	147	1,301
MSP	0	8	96	244
Sheriff's Departments	63	51	32	862
<b>Total</b>	<b>994</b>	<b>711</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>8,558</b>



## ASSET FORFEITURE BY PROPERTY CATEGORY

For purposes of this report, all forfeited items are classified as real property, conveyances, cash, or personal property. Real property consists of single-family residences, multi-family residences, industrial, commercial, and agricultural properties. Conveyances are considered vehicles, vessels, and aircraft. Cash includes negotiable instruments, securities, and interest. Personal property consists of all personal property that was awarded to the seizing agency and converted into cash during the reporting period, but not listed in another category.

The following table provides gross proceeds in 2014 by categories of property that can be seized pursuant to MCL 333.7524:

Agencies	Real Property	Conveyances	Cash	Personal Property	Total Asset Forfeitures
Local Police Agencies	\$0	\$1,219,570	\$6,011,334	\$367,507	\$7,598,411
Multijurisdictional Task Forces	\$210,888	\$529,377	\$3,085,862	\$291,879	\$4,118,006
MSP	\$0	\$0	\$1,113,909	\$0	\$1,113,909
Sheriff's Departments	\$17,500	\$242,254	\$912,541	\$54,341	\$1,226,636
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,388</b>	<b>\$1,991,201</b>	<b>\$11,123,646</b>	<b>\$713,727</b>	<b>\$14,056,962</b>

2014 Figures: Amounts exclude any expense-related deductions or sharing percentages.  
Due to rounding, figures are not exact.

The forfeited real property listed on the above table included eight single-family residential units and three units of agricultural and land real estate. Conveyances seized and forfeited in 2014 included 2,212 vehicles and four vessels.

## EXPENDITURES OF ASSET FORFEITURE FUNDS

Under MCL 333.7524, asset forfeiture funds are to be used only for law enforcement purposes. The reporting agencies are requested to show the use of asset forfeiture funds in 13 broad categories: personnel, overtime, vehicles, equipment, federal grant match, informant fees, buy money, training, crime prevention and public outreach, animal expenses, supplies and materials, nonprofit organizations, and other expenses. Reporting agencies are requested to show their expenditures in each category as a percentage of the agency's total expenditures of asset forfeiture funds.

Prosecuting attorneys generally receive a percentage of each forfeiture as a fee to cover their costs in completing forfeiture proceedings. Some prosecuting attorneys return the entire forfeiture amount to the law enforcement agency initiating the proceeding. As a result, prosecutors reported zero net total forfeiture proceeds.

The following table provides information regarding the expenditures of asset forfeiture funds:

Category	Percentage
Personnel	10.4%
Overtime	1.6%
Vehicles	10.5%
Equipment	44.6%
Federal Grant Match	1.5%
Informant Fees	1.0%
Buy Money	4.4%
Training	4.3%
Crime Prevention/Public Outreach	1.9%
Animal Expenses	3.8%
Supplies and Materials	3.4%
Nonprofit Organizations	0.6%
Other	12.0%

The following are examples of reported expenditures by category:

**Personnel:** Asset forfeiture funds are used to fund community policing officers, multijurisdictional drug team personnel, local and county agency drug team personnel, and civilian personnel.

**Overtime:** Drug investigations are often initiated in the late evening/early morning hours. Certified methamphetamine laboratory responders, raid entry teams, and canine handlers are examples of frequently used personnel that require overtime payment.

**Vehicles:** The increasing cost of vehicles has been a major factor in increased police department operating cost budgets. The use of asset forfeiture funds has offset some of these costs.

**Equipment:** Law enforcement is seeing rapid changes in technology to assist agencies in working more efficiently and effectively. Records management systems, mobile data terminals, live stream video, and evidence collection enhancements are examples of expenditures from this category.

**Federal Grant Match:** Grant matching funds help increase the number of investigators and prosecutors dedicated to drug enforcement. Multijurisdictional task forces rely heavily on federal funds to operate and most of these funds require a cash match.

**Informant Fees:** Asset forfeiture funds are used for informant fees to assist in solving complex drug investigations.

**Buy Money:** Assembling cases against drug traffickers requires resources for undercover agents to conduct drug purchases, often over a period of time. Enforcement budgets may be inadequate for this expenditure. Asset forfeiture funds fill this gap and provide needed resources, especially for local law enforcement agencies.



**Training:** The majority of sworn personnel assigned to multijurisdictional task forces require formal narcotics investigative training. Law enforcement agencies are providing more training for personnel to keep current with new technological advances. Asset forfeiture funds can assist agencies with these costs.

**Crime Prevention and Public Outreach:** Law enforcement agencies are providing education and awareness programs throughout the state. These efforts may include presentations to schools and community groups.

**Animal Expenses:** Canines have proven to be a valuable asset to law enforcement. Law enforcement agencies and one multijurisdictional task force reported spending asset forfeiture funds on medical and maintenance costs for their canine programs.

**Supplies and Materials:** Supplies and materials are considered operational items that cost under \$5,000, including computers, copier leases, cellular telephones, and vehicle/building maintenance. Materials and supplies utilized by multijurisdictional task force personnel are not normally provided for in a participating agency's budget so multijurisdictional task forces often use asset forfeiture funds to support their supplies and materials expenditures.

**Nonprofit Organizations:** Local police agencies, sheriff's departments, and one multijurisdictional task force reported contributing a percentage of their asset forfeiture funds to local crime alert organizations.

**Other:** Expenditures reported in this category were utilized for building/utility costs for narcotics unit operations, cellular telephone bills, global positioning tracker services, extradition costs, drug awareness kits, vehicle purchases, off-site storage units for toxic materials, dispatching consortium fees, aviation fees, and evidence collection materials.

## **DISTRIBUTION TO SCHOOLS**

MCL 333.7524 allows for the donation of lights for plant growth or scales forfeited to elementary schools, secondary schools, and institutions of higher education. In 2014, seizing agencies donated 230 lights for plant growth and 91 scales to 25 schools/school districts with a combined estimated value of \$37,755.

### 2011-2014 TOTAL NET ASSET PROCEEDS COMPARISON

Total net proceeds is calculated by adding the total gross asset forfeitures and shared federal, state, and local forfeitures proceeds received and subtracting administrative costs and the amount of shared asset forfeitures proceeds sent to other law enforcement agencies.

The following table shows total net proceeds in 2011-2014:

Year	Local Police Agencies	Multijurisdictional Task Forces	MSP	Sheriff's Departments	Total Net Proceeds
2011	\$15,538,831	\$4,162,716	\$1,179,842	\$4,846,105	\$25,727,494
2012	\$12,253,154	\$4,498,407	\$1,172,054	\$4,444,528	\$22,368,143
2013	\$14,470,843	\$3,242,460	\$718,072	\$2,515,778	\$20,947,153
2014	\$12,056,428	\$3,647,915	\$1,921,456	\$2,831,739	\$20,457,538

### 2013-2014 TOTAL NET ASSET PROCEEDS COMPARISON

The following table contains a county-by-county and a MSP statewide comparison of the total net asset proceeds between 2013 and 2014.

County	Local Police			County Sheriff		
	2013	2014	Change	2013	2014	Change
Alcona	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$484	\$885	\$401
Alger	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,499	\$0	(\$2,499)
Allegan	\$0	\$3,245	\$3,245	\$0	\$2,664	\$2,664
Alpena	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Antrim	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Arenac	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,300	\$341	(\$1,959)
Baraga	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Barry	\$2,153	\$2,430	\$277	\$3,570	\$0	(\$3,570)
Bay	\$90,348	\$17,280	(\$73,068)	\$156	\$2,069	\$1,913
Benzie	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Berrien	\$96,819	\$54,194	(\$42,625)	\$38,682	\$74,999	\$36,317
Branch	\$1,450	\$2,984	\$1,534	\$2,893	(\$257)	(\$3,150)
Calhoun	\$177,792	\$177,551	(\$241)	\$56,295	\$113,063	\$56,768
Cass	\$14,175	\$1,148	(\$13,027)	\$250	\$0	\$0
Charlevoix	\$6,153	\$0	(\$6,153)	\$4,696	\$5,680	\$984
Cheboygan	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$767)	\$3,830	\$4,597
Chippewa	\$9,999	\$4,807	(\$5,192)	\$8,660	\$19,421	\$10,761
Clare	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,677	\$5,677



County	Local Police			County Sheriff		
	2013	2014	Change	2013	2014	Change
Clinton	\$1,635	\$25,025	\$23,390	\$1,294	\$1,399	\$105
Crawford	\$2,573	\$0	(\$2,573)	\$1,400	\$2,566	\$1,166
Delta	\$4,908	\$2,060	(\$2,848)	\$725	\$0	(\$725)
Dickinson	\$0	\$8,209	\$8,209	\$250	\$0	(\$250)
Eaton	\$816	\$0	(\$816)	\$10,603	\$544	(\$10,059)
Emmett	\$12,151	\$2,972	(\$9,179)	\$555	\$1,763	\$1,208
Genesee	\$66,788	\$97,884	\$31,096	\$13,536	\$30,650	\$17,114
Gladwin	\$2,895	\$11,568	\$8,673	\$365	\$159	(\$206)
Gogebic	\$0	\$4,854	\$4,854	\$1,865	\$0	(\$1,865)
Grand Traverse	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$235	\$0	(\$235)
Gratiot	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$523	\$523
Hillsdale	\$372	\$626	\$254	\$8,671	\$52,698	\$44,027
Houghton	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,439	\$5,003	(\$9,436)
Huron	\$2,795	\$8,293	\$5,498	\$8,099	\$0	(\$8,099)
Ingham	\$2,394,212	\$659,637	(\$1,734,575)	\$16,596	\$14,446	(\$2,150)
Ionia	\$230	\$4,888	\$4,658	\$1,230	\$950	(\$280)
Iosco	\$3,667	\$2,701	(\$966)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Iron	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$472	\$472
Isabella	\$45,528	\$70,347	\$24,819	\$535	\$0	(\$535)
Jackson	\$37,796	\$29,185	(\$8,611)	\$10,134	\$9,008	(\$1,126)
Kalamazoo	\$270,805	\$652,972	\$382,167	\$3,367	\$8,669	\$5,302
Kalkaska	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kent	\$427,664	\$476,907	\$49,243	\$666,897	\$380,391	(\$284,506)
Keweenaw	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$508	\$2,668	\$2,160
Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$16,632	\$1,411	(\$15,221)
Lapeer	\$30,504	\$23,767	(\$6,737)	\$24,115	\$18,660	(\$5,455)
Leelanau	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lenawee	\$6,407	\$8,724	\$2,317	\$0	\$334	\$334
Livingston	\$74,959	\$74,065	(\$894)	\$121,805	\$217,096	\$95,291
Luce	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mackinac	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Macomb	\$2,717,538	\$2,026,992	(\$690,546)	\$158,832	\$181,619	\$22,787
Manistee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marquette	\$1,485	\$7,665	\$6,180	\$0	\$420	\$420
Mason	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mecosta	\$0	\$5,921	\$5,921	\$1,778	\$6,283	\$4,505
Menominee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,300	\$6,300
Midland	\$0	\$4,914	\$4,914	\$20,241	\$5,023	(\$15,218)
Missaukee	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monroe	\$15,269	\$17,832	\$2,563	\$56,382	\$55,876	(\$506)



County	Local Police			County Sheriff		
	2013	2014	Change	2013	2014	Change
Montcalm	\$3,614	\$0	(\$3,614)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Montmorency	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Muskegon	\$19,625	\$26,775	\$7,150	\$0	\$4,410	\$4,410
Newaygo	\$0	\$50	\$50	\$400	\$0	(\$400)
Oakland	\$1,402,635	\$1,749,192	\$346,557	\$361,163	\$393,845	\$32,682
Oceana	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ogemaw	\$500	\$0	(\$500)	\$3,462	\$10,655	\$7,193
Ontonagon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Osceola	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Oscoda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Otsego	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ottawa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,652	\$46,652
Presque Isle	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Roscommon	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,270	\$0	(\$10,270)
Saginaw	\$139,115	\$81,511	(\$56,604)	\$43,750	\$58,655	\$14,905
Sanilac	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Schoolcraft	\$1,245	\$0	(\$1,245)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shiawassee	\$691	\$900	\$209	\$733	\$489	(\$244)
St. Clair	\$277,998	\$64,641	(\$213,357)	\$0	\$0	\$0
St. Joseph	\$2,696	\$10,801	\$8,105	\$0	\$70,573	\$70,573
Tuscola	\$141	\$0	(\$141)	\$0	(\$219)	(\$219)
Van Buren	\$10,244	\$1,433	(\$8,811)	\$40,162	\$17,903	(\$22,259)
Washtenaw	\$39,582	\$556,116	\$516,534	\$141,138	\$469,719	\$328,581
Wayne	\$6,052,871	\$5,073,052	(\$979,819)	\$633,893	\$525,754	(\$108,139)
Wexford	\$0	\$310	\$310	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>MSP</b>						
MSP Statewide	\$718,072	\$1,921,456	\$1,203,384			

The following table contains a comparison of the total net asset proceeds between 2013 and 2014 for multijurisdictional task force teams.

Bay Area Narcotics Enforcement Team (B.A.Y.A.N.E.T.)			Flint Area Narcotics Group (F.A.N.G.)		
<b>Counties:</b> Bay, Isabella, Midland, and Saginaw			<b>County:</b> Genesee		
	2013	\$113,818		2013	\$108,946
	2014	\$171,105		2014	\$318,438
	Change:	\$57,287		Change:	\$209,492

  

Cass County Drug Team			Huron Undercover Narcotics Team (H.U.N.T.)		
<b>County:</b> Cass*			<b>Counties:</b> Alcona, Alpena, Montmorency, and Presque Isle		
Gross	2013	(\$12,351)		2013	\$11,964
	2014	43,025		2014	\$11,176
	Change:	\$55,376		Change:	(\$788)

  

Central Michigan Enforcement Team (C.M.E.T.)			Jackson Narcotics Enforcement Team (J.N.E.T.)		
<b>Counties:</b> Ionia, Mecosta, Montcalm, Newaygo, and Osceola			<b>County:</b> Jackson		
	2013	\$87,325		2013	\$134,661
	2014	\$87,121		2014	\$103,905
	Change:	(\$204)		Change:	(\$30,756)

  

County of Macomb Enforcement Team (C.O.M.E.T.)			Kingsford, Iron Mountain, Norway, Dickinson County Drug Enforcement Team* (K.I.N.D.)		
<b>County:</b> Macomb			<b>County:</b> Dickinson		
	2013	\$126,337		2013	\$0
	2014	\$169,795		2014	\$0
	Change:	\$43,458		Change:	\$0

<b>Downriver Area Narcotics Organization (D.R.A.N.O.)</b>	<b>Livingston and Washtenaw Narcotics Enforcement Team (L.A.W.N.E.T)</b>
<b>County:</b> Wayne	<b>Counties:</b> Livingston and Washtenaw
2013 \$65,647	2013 \$116,424
2014 \$65,466	2014 \$80,973
Change: (\$181)	Change: (\$35,451)

<b>Mid-Michigan Area Group Narcotics Enforcement Team (M.A.G.N.E.T.)</b>	<b>Region of Irish Hills Narcotics Office (Previously O.M.N.I. #3) (R.H.I.N.O.)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Shiawassee and Gratiot	<b>Counties:</b> Hillsdale, Lenawee, and Monroe
2013 \$30,894	2013 \$74,486
2014 \$26,241	2014 \$121,118
Change: (\$4,653)	Change: \$46,632

<b>Monroe Area Narcotics Team and Investigative Services (M.A.N.T.I.S.)</b>	<b>Straits Area Narcotics Enforcement (S.A.N.E.)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Monroe	<b>Counties:</b> Charlevoix, Cheboygan, Chippewa, Emmett, Luce, Mackinac, and Otsego
2013 \$77,529	2013 \$41,030
2014 \$108,703	2014 \$68,454
Change: \$31,174	Change: \$27,424

<b>Metropolitan Enforcement Team (M.E.T.)</b>	<b>Sanilac County Drug Task Force</b>
<b>County:</b> Kent	<b>County:</b> Sanilac
2013 \$210,434	2013 \$13,744
2014 \$145,258	2014 \$8,152
Change: (\$65,176)	Change: (\$5,592)

<b>Oakland County Narcotic Enforcement Team (N.E.T.)*</b>	<b>St. Clair County Drug Task Force</b>
<b>County:</b> Oakland	<b>County:</b> St. Clair
2013 \$752,594	2013 \$123,776
2014 \$377,847	2014 \$188,793
Change: (\$374,747)	Change: \$65,017



<b>State, Sheriffs, Chiefs Enforcement Narcotics Team (S.S.C.E.N.T.)</b>	<b>Strike Team Investigative Narcotics Group (S.T.I.N.G.)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Lake, Manistee, Mason, and Oceana	<b>Counties:</b> Arenac, Crawford, Iosco, Ogemaw, Oscoda, and Roscommon
2013 \$16,019	2013 \$19,479
2014 \$62,739	2014 \$11,803
Change: \$46,720	Change: (\$7,676)

<b>Southwestern Enforcement Team (S.W.E.T.)</b>	<b>Thumb Narcotics Unit (T.N.U.)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Barry, Kalamazoo, Branch, St. Joseph, Calhoun, Cass, and Van Buren	<b>Counties:</b> Huron, Lapeer, Sanilac, and Tuscola
2013 \$376,612	2013 \$91,167
2014 \$357,025	2014 \$11,169
Change: (\$19,587)	Change: (\$79,998)

<b>Traverse Narcotics Team (T.N.T.)</b>	<b>Tri-County Metro Narcotics Squad (Tri County Metro)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Antrim, Benzie, Grand Traverse, Kalkaska, Leelanau, Missaukee, and Wexford	<b>Counties:</b> Clinton, Eaton, and Ingham
2013 \$147,319	2013 \$222,251
2014 \$90,494	2014 \$191,598
Change: (\$56,825)	Change: (\$30,653)

<b>Upper Peninsula Substance Enforcement Team (U.P.S.E.T.)</b>	<b>West Michigan Enforcement Team (W.E.M.E.T.)</b>
<b>Counties:</b> Alger, Baraga, Delta, Dickinson, Gogebic, Houghton, Iron, Keweenaw, Marquette, Menominee, Ontonagon, and Schoolcraft	<b>Counties:</b> Allegan, Muskegon, and Ottawa
2013 \$12,369	2013 \$201,896
2014 \$37,987	2014 \$263,113
Change: \$25,618	Change: \$61,217

<b>Western Wayne Narcotics (W.W.N.)</b>
<b>County:</b> Wayne
2013 \$71,418
2014 \$526,417
Change: \$454,999