

## Position on the Use of Cannabis (Marijuana) and Driving

Adopted August 14, 2012, by the National Safety Council Committee on Alcohol and Other Drugs

The National Safety Council (NSC) was asked to develop a policy on the impact of medical marijuana. As a result of this request, the NSC Committee on Alcohol and Other Drugs (CAOD) conferred to provide a position statement to the NSC and the public on cannabis (marijuana) and driving. The CAOD, as part of its mission to provide recommendations to the NSC and the public on drugs and alcohol and public safety, recommends the following policy on cannabis and driving.

It is the position of the NSC CAOD that it is unsafe to operate a vehicle or other complex equipment while under the influence of cannabis (marijuana), its primary psychoactive component, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) or synthetic cannabinoids with comparable cognitive and psychomotor effects, due to the increased risk of death or injury to the driver and the public.

This position statement reflects the views of the members of the NSC Committee on Alcohol and Other Drugs and may or may not be an official policy of the National Safety Council.

### Commentary

Nearly two-thirds of United States trauma center admissions are due to motor vehicle accidents, with almost 60% positive for drugs or alcohol (1). In 2009, 12.0% of Americans aged 12 or older drove under the influence of alcohol at least once in the past year, and 10.5 million people reported driving under the influence of illicit drugs (2). Despite real or perceived impairment, individuals report willingness to drive if they have a good reason to do so (3–4) or they believe they have developed tolerance (5). Alcohol and cannabis are the most frequently detected drugs in drivers (6).

Cannabis (marijuana) is the most widely consumed illicit substance worldwide (7). In 2009, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) estimated that 125–203 million individuals from ages 15–64 had ingested cannabis (7). In the United States in 2009, there was an increase over the previous two years to 6.6% of those 12 years or older who had smoked cannabis in the last month (2). The 2007 National Roadside Survey reported that cannabis was the most common drug quantified in drivers' blood or oral fluid (OF), with 8.6% of nighttime drivers found to be positive for THC (6, 8). Thus, driving under the influence of cannabis is a growing public health concern.

Acute cannabis intoxication produces dose-related impairment in cognitive and psychomotor functioning, in addition to risk-taking behavior (9–14). Reaction time (RT), perception, short-term memory and attention, motor skills, tracking and skilled activities are altered (15–17). These cannabis-induced decrements can impair driving skills.

Early epidemiological studies had difficulty documenting increased odds ratios (OR; risks of an accident) for motor vehicle accidents or driving fatalities for four primary reasons: (i) the cannabis-exposed group included individuals positive for THC or its inactive metabolite 11-nor- $\Delta^9$ -carboxy-THC

(THCCOOH) in blood or urine; (ii) sample collection was delayed after the event and THC concentrations decreased rapidly; (iii) there were few cannabis-only cases because many drivers ingested multiple drugs; and (iv) the cannabis-driving population demographics are similar to other high-risk driving populations: young, male, high-risk taking and high incidence of drunk driving; thus, after adjusting for these confounders, many results were equivocal. In 2004, Drummer *et al.* accrued sufficient cannabis-only cases to demonstrate a statistically significant increase in adjusted driver crash responsibility OR (2.7) when any blood THC was measureable relative to drug-free drivers (18). This increased to OR 6.6, comparable to culpability associated with a 0.15 g/100 mL BAC, when blood THC was  $\geq 5$  ng/mL. Driving within one hour of smoking cannabis increased crash risk [ORs 1.84 (19) and 2.61 (20)], even after adjustment for demographic characteristics. In France, drivers in fatal crashes with detectable THC in blood had 3.17 OR for crash responsibility (1.7 adjusted for demographics, BAC, blood THC concentration and time of crash) (21). Drivers who are responsible for an accident have an increased OR with increasing blood THC. Crude (adjusted) ORs were 2.18 (1.57), 2.54 (1.54), 3.78 (2.13) and 4.72 (2.12) for <1, 1–2, 3–4 and  $\geq 5$  ng/mL, respectively. Two recent meta-analyses, each evaluating data from nine epidemiological studies (only two in common) documented significantly increased motor vehicle accident risk [OR (95% confidence index; CI): 2.66 (2.07–3.41) (22) and 1.92 (1.35–2.73) (23)], even after controlling for confounding variables.

Driving simulator studies are useful for measuring THC effects on driving because they have greater validity than laboratory studies regarding individual psychomotor or cognitive tasks, while eliminating crash risk to participants. Simulators also allow the measurement of specific performance decrements in ways unachievable in real-road driving experiments. RT, road tracking, speed, and standard deviation (SD) of speed were the most commonly measured outcomes. Four of six experiments evaluating RT showed that THC dose-dependently increased this measure (24–29). When RT was measured including a secondary task (divided attention), lower (13 and 17 mg) THC doses produced significant and dose-dependent increases (24), suggesting that divided attention is particularly sensitive to THC effects.

Only one simulator experiment included a headway maintenance task; 19 and 38 mg of smoked THC significantly and dose-dependently increased mean and SD headway relative to placebo (25). The most sensitive road tracking measure was the SD of lateral position (SDLP). In one study, both 13 and 17 mg of smoked THC increased SDLP relative to placebo in light (1–4  $\times$  /month) smokers (24), whereas two other studies showed no significant SDLP increase after 13 mg in 1–4  $\times$  /month smokers (3) or after 22.9 mg in 1–10  $\times$  /month smokers (29). In contrast, 19 and 38 mg of THC significantly increased SDLP by 4 and 7 cm, respectively (25). Percent time in lane (30) and straddled line (31) demonstrated significant THC-induced impairment 60–330 min (30) and 80 min (31) after doses ranging from 14–52 mg.

In a 22 km road-tracking closed course test, 100, 200 and 300 µg/kg (~7, ~14 and ~21 mg) of smoked THC increased SDLP relative to placebo with no significant differences in mean or SD speed (4). A second experiment conducted on the highway administered THC (100, 200 and 300 µg/kg) in an ascending-dose order for safety reasons. Beginning 45 min after the start of smoking, 16 participants performed a 64 km road-tracking segment (approximately 50 min) (32). THC increased SDLP in a dose-dependent manner, such that the lowest dose produced a slight and nonsignificant elevation, the medium dose produced a significant but modest increase and the highest dose produced a highly significant and substantial increase.

Multiple studies showed increased crash and culpability risks, even after adjusting for potential confounders such as age, sex, risky behaviors and polydrug use. Elevated blood THC concentrations and driving several hours after smoking were strongly associated with higher crash and culpability risks. Human laboratory controlled drug administration studies showed that THC-induced decrements in driving performance began within the first hour and lasted several hours after smoking, which was consistent with epidemiological data.

Laboratory-based impairment experiments identified divided attention tasks and executive functions as the most sensitive to cannabis' effects. Studies evaluating actual driving performance demonstrated dose-dependent THC impairment in road tracking, even following low to moderate THC doses that were required due to safety concerns.

Driving under the influence of cannabis is an important public safety concern. Impaired driving endangers those both inside and outside the driver's vehicle. Smoking or eating cannabis with or without alcohol before driving is a common occurrence and increases the risks of motor vehicle accidents and fatalities. The position of the NSC CAOD is that smoking or ingesting cannabis, THC or synthetic cannabinoids before or during driving increases the risk of death or injury to the driver and the public.

## References

- Walsh, J.M., Flegel, R., Cangianelli, L.A. *et al.* (2004) Epidemiology of alcohol and other drug use among motor vehicle crash victims admitted to a trauma center. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, **5**, 254–260.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2010) Results from the 2009 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Volume I. Summary of National Findings (Office of Applied Studies, NSDUH Series H-38A, HHS Publication No. SMA 10-4586Findings). Rockville, MD.
- Ronen, A., Chassidim, H.S., Gershon, P. *et al.* (2010) The effect of alcohol, THC and their combination on perceived effects, willingness to drive and performance of driving and non-driving tasks. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, **42**, 1855–1865.
- Lamers, C.T., Ramaekers, J.G. (2001) Visual search and urban driving under the influence of marijuana and alcohol. *Human Psychopharmacology*, **16**, 393–401.
- Ramaekers, J.G., Kauert, G., Theunissen, E.L. *et al.* (2009) Neurocognitive performance during acute THC intoxication in heavy and occasional cannabis users. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, **23**, 266–277.
- Compton, R., Berning, A. (2009) Results of the 2007 National Roadside Survey of Alcohol and Drug Use by Drivers. (NHTSA, Publication No. DOT HS 811 175), Washington, DC.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2011) World Drug Report. (United Nations, Publication No. E.11.XI.10), Vienna.
- Lacey, J.H., Kelley-Baker, T., Furr-Holdenm, D. *et al.* (2009) 2007 National Roadside Survey of Alcohol and Drug Use by Drivers: Drug Results. (National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Office of Behavioral Safety Research, Publication No. DOT HS 811 249), Washington, DC.
- Chait, L.D., Pierri, J. (1992) Neurobiology and Neurophysiology. Murphy, L., Bartke, A. (eds). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 387–423.
- Goodwin, R.S., Gustafson, R.A., Barnes, A. *et al.* (2006) Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol, 11-hydroxy-delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol and 11-nor-9-carboxy-delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol in human plasma after controlled oral administration of cannabinoids. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring*, **28**, 545–551.
- Huestis, M.A., Smith, M.L. (2009) Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drugs abused in driving. Verster, J.C., Pandi-Perumal, S.R., Ramaekers, J.G. *et al.* Birkhauser-Verlag, pp. 151–185.
- Lane, S.D., Cherek, D.R., Tcheremissine, O.V. *et al.* (2005) Acute marijuana effects on human risk taking. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **30**, 800–809.
- McDonald, J., Schleifer, L., Richards, J.B. *et al.* (2003) Effects of THC on behavioral measures of impulsivity in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **28**, 1356–1365.
- Ramaekers, J.G., Kauert, G., van Ruitenbeek, P. *et al.* (2006) High-potency marijuana impairs executive function and inhibitory motor control. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **31**, 2296–2303.
- Ramaekers, J.G., Berghaus, G., van Laar, M. *et al.* (2004) Dose related risk of motor vehicle crashes after cannabis use. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, **73**, 109–119.
- Hall, W., Lemon, J., Solowij, N. (1994) The health and psychological consequences of cannabis use. Publication No. 25, Australian Government, Canberra, Australia.
- Riedel, G., Davies, S.N. (2005) Cannabinoid function in learning, memory and plasticity, Volume 168. Pertwee, R.G. (ed). Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, pp. 446–470.
- Drummer, O.H., Gerostamoulos, J., Batziris, H. *et al.* (2004) The involvement of drugs in drivers of motor vehicles killed in Australian road traffic crashes. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **36**, 239–248.
- Asbridge, M., Poulin, C., Donato, A. (2005) Motor vehicle collision risk and driving under the influence of cannabis: Evidence from adolescents in Atlantic Canada. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **37**, 1025–1034.
- Mann, R.E., Adlaf, E., Zhao, J. *et al.* (2007) Cannabis use and self-reported collisions in a representative sample of adult drivers. *Journal of Safety Research*, **38**, 669–674.
- Laumon, B., Gadegbeku, B., Martin, J.L. *et al.* (2005) Cannabis intoxication and fatal road crashes in France: Population based case-control study. *British Medical Journal*, **331**, 1371–1374.
- Li, M.C., Brady, J.E., DiMaggio, C.J. *et al.* (2012) Marijuana use and motor vehicle crashes. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, **34**, 65–72.
- Asbridge, M., Hayden, J.A., Cartwright, J.L.; Acute cannabis consumption and motor vehicle collision risk: Systematic review of observational studies and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*, February 9, 2012; 10.1136/PubMed/e536.
- Ronen, A., Gershon, P., Drobiner, H. *et al.* (2008) Effects of THC on driving performance, physiological state and subjective feelings relative to alcohol. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **40**, 926–934.
- Lenne, M.G., Dietze, P.M., Triggs, T.J. *et al.* (2010) The effects of cannabis and alcohol on simulated arterial driving: Influences of driving experience and task demand. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, **42**, 859–866.
- Liguori, A., Gatto, C.P., Robinson, J.H. (1998) Effects of marijuana on equilibrium, psychomotor performance, and simulated driving. *Behavioural Pharmacology*, **9**, 599–609.

27. Rafaelsen, O.J., Bech, P., Rafaelsen, L. (1973) Simulated car driving influenced by cannabis and alcohol. *Pharmakopsychiatrie, Neuro-Psychopharmakologie*, **6**, 71–83.
28. Liguori, A., Gatto, C.P., Jarrett, D.B. (2002) Separate and combined effects of marijuana and alcohol on mood, equilibrium and simulated driving. *Psychopharmacology*, **163**, 399–405.
29. Anderson, B.M., Rizzo, M., Block, R.I. *et al.* (2010) Sex differences in the effects of marijuana on simulated driving performance. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, **42**, 19–30.
30. Menetrey, A., Augsburger, M., Favrat, B. *et al.* (2005) Assessment of driving capability through the use of clinical and psychomotor tests in relation to blood cannabinoids levels following oral administration of 20 mg dronabinol or of a cannabis decoction made with 20 or 60 mg Delta9-THC. *Journal of Analytical Toxicology*, **29**, 327–338.
31. Papafotiou, K., Carter, J.D., Stough, C. (2005) The relationship between performance on the standardised field sobriety tests, driving performance and the level of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in blood. *Forensic Science International*, **155**, 172–178.
32. Robbe, H. (1998) Marijuana's impairing effects on driving are moderate when taken alone but severe when combined with alcohol. *Human Psychopharmacology: Clinical Experimental*, **13**, S70–S78.